2016 Performance Assessment of the Board of Directors and its Members

(Approved by the Board of Directors on 2017.02.23)

For the purpose of implementing corporate governance and improving the Board's function, Dynamic exercised **2016 performance assessment of the Board of Directors** in accordance with "The Practices & Procedures of the Board's Performance Assessment". This performance assessment includes the performance evaluation of the whole Board of Directors, functionality committees and every individual board member. The evaluation was put into practice in the manner of internal self-assessment of the Board and self-assessment of each board member. Assessment action plan are as follows:

Subject	Comments		Improvement Action Plan
	1)	Each regulated item has	
		been carried out	NA
		accordingly. Good!	
Comprehensive	2)	Board member,	
Comments		organization, functionality,	
		related committees and	NA
		activities were able to meet	
		the requirement.	
	1)	To achieve timely	
		evaluation, the	
		performance assessment	It will be implemented after
		shall be finished within one	2017 board meetings.
Suggestions		week after the board	
		meeting.	
	2)	The plan of director	It is suggested contacting
		successors shall be	the related experts externally
		discussed in detail.	based on the trend of the

1. The performance assessment of Board of Directors, Audit Committee and Remuneration Committee

3)	The quantitative and qualitative composition goals of the board shall be set.	Company' s industry before figuring out the plan of director successors. <u>Goal of qualitative</u> <u>composition:</u> A. Proportion of representative composition (1/3 of shareholder' s prospective, 1/3 of business prospective, and 1/3 of corporate governance and social responsibilities) B. Proportion of ability composition (1/4 of operation management, 1/4 of financial audit, 1/4 of law and corporate governance, and 1/4 of technological profession) <u>Consideration of qualitative</u> <u>composition:</u> A. Qualitative dimension (Supplementary degree of decision-making style, rich degree of discussion interaction, and foresight degree of issue settings) B. Assessment tools (Assessment tool of decision-making style, depth and width measurement of
		decision-making style, depth
		degree of assessment on

		issue setting for each other)
4)	As the planning of	
	corporate strategies and	
	governance must be	It is suggested taking at least
	realized and corrected in	two terms of directors as the
	medium and long terms,	target working period, and
	longer service term of	listing it as one of the
	directors shall make	conditions for director
	long-term planning, which	appointment.
	can facilitate the continuity	
	of the decisions.	

2. Self-assessment of board members

Item	Comments	Improvement Action Plan	
Comprehensive Comments	All directors are competent		
	enough, and set continuous	NA	
	professional development plans		
	for themselves, which are		
	believed to further improve the		
	performance of the members'		
	work.		
Suggestions	It shall consider how to make	It is suggested appointing	
	contribution for the enterprise	them as the consultant or	
	after the current directors are	the members of	
	retired.	Remuneration Committee.	